

Waukesha Co. Judge stays decision that would end PFAS regulation in Wisconsin

Written by Wisconsin Examiner Republish
Monday, 13 June 2022 10:16

<http://newiproggressive.com/images/stories/S5/pfas-cleanup-shawn-valosin-s450.png>



"The Court's decision ... will limit the physical, mental, and economic harm suffered by those living in and around PFAS contamination sites in Wisconsin." – Rob Lee, staff attorney for Midwest Environmental Advocates

MADISON - A Waukesha County Circuit Court Judge stayed a previous [decision](#) on Tuesday, allowing the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources to continue regulating contamination from harmful "forever chemicals" known as PFAS while an appeal is pending.

In April, Judge Michael Bohren ruled that the DNR had been unlawfully regulating PFAS and other "emerging contaminants" under the state's spills law, which has been in effect for decades. Bohren found that because PFAS are not listed as harmful contaminants by the DNR, they can't be regulated. In order to do so, the department would need to create rules that establish the cancer-causing chemicals as harmful.

The lawsuit was brought by the state's largest business lobby, [Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce](#) and a Waukesha County-based leather cleaning company. If the original decision stands it would kneecap the DNR's ability to protect communities from harmful contamination. Municipalities across the state have had local water sources

[affected](#) by PFAS contamination — which comes from cleaning supplies, materials used to make household items such as non-stick pans and firefighting foams often used at airports.

Waukesha Co. Judge stays decision that would end PFAS regulation in Wisconsin

Written by Wisconsin Examiner Republish
Monday, 13 June 2022 10:16

On Tuesday Bohren granted the department's motion for a stay of his decision pending an appeal. The DNR and environmental groups argued that if the decision immediately went into effect, the resulting harms would be irreparable.

"I'm satisfied that the impact of the court's decision is large," Bohren said. "It's expansive, across a lot of activity that the DNR is engaged in. It's activity that's controversial. It's activity that is easy to generate emotions about and it hasn't been litigated in 40 years."

"Taking into account the seriousness of the issues involved and the broad impact of the issues involved, a stay is appropriate," he continued. "I'm satisfied it protects everyone's interest. It puts the plaintiffs' side and the business people and the people who supported the plaintiffs somewhat at risk for a period of time, but the stay also protects the overall state policy that the DNR is working on if the court is incorrect."

Gabe Johnson-Karp, an assistant attorney general for the Wisconsin Department of Justice, argued that there are currently 1,300 families in Wisconsin who receive [free bottled water](#) from the DNR under the spills law because their water supplies have been affected by PFAS. If PFAS aren't covered by the law anymore, he argued, those families would no longer have clean drinking water.

He also said that the gutting of a 40-year-old law will shake the status quo so abruptly that economic development and local tax revenues could be affected.

