

## Where Has the New Moderate Mitt Been these Past Years?

Posted on Oct 10, Posted by [Bob Kiefert, Green Bay Progressive](#) Category [Our View](#)



GREEN BAY – It seems that Mitt Romney is constantly trying to refocus his campaign, yesterday it was on foreign policy, and today it's on agriculture. It is all a continuation of the NEW “**Moderate Mitt**” that appeared in last week's debate. But he still hasn't offered any specific ideas to fill out his new positions.

For the past two years, Mitt Romney has reset his campaign over and over again, apparently to appeal to different voters as he goes along. Yesterday he tried out a new stand on foreign policy, and today he's moving to agriculture, but neither speech offered any specific plans.

In trying to outline his agriculture policy, Romney dodged the details because he knows his plans would hurt rural Americans.

1. He didn't mention the wind production tax credit he opposes, risking thousands of jobs in Iowa and Colorado.
2. He barely mentioned the Farm Bill, failing to say what should be in it or call on Republicans in Congress to pass it. That's probably because his running mate, Rep. Paul Ryan, and their allies in Congress keep blocking it.

**Earlier, Romney gave his seventh foreign policy speech, each one less specific than the last.** He said he would go back to the same policies that weakened our standing in the world and drove us billions of dollars into debt under the Bush Administration.

1. His speech was widely panned for lacking policy details and ignoring facts.
2. Romney doubled down on an indefinite troop presence in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Romney's constantly changing stands are just as dishonest as his debate performance, where the "**New Mitt**" appeared after nearly two years of the "**Severely Conservative Mitt**" used to sell his candidacy to the Republican conservative base.

1. He was dishonest about the size of his tax breaks for the wealthiest because they're so big, he'd have to raise taxes on middle-class families to pay for them.

1. He was dishonest about his health care plan because it would leave millions with preexisting conditions uninsured when they need coverage the most.

2. He was dishonest about his Medicare plan because it would take away seniors' guaranteed benefits and raise their health costs by thousands of dollars.

3. He didn't honestly lay out a specific plan for dealing with the deficit. Instead he said we should cut funding for Sesame Street.

It all makes one wonder "**which Mitt**" they would be voting for in November. When a candidate will not give you specifics, and keeps changing his beliefs to satisfy this voter block and that, can you trust them to be our President?

Tags: Untagged